Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Application No. 09/874,198 Examiner	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/874,198	JENSENIUS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William W. Moore	1652	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: Response to Rejection	
(1) William W. Moore, Examiner.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Iver P. Cooper, Applicant's Counsel.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 September 2004	Time: <u>4:00PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 54, 65 and 66			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substant of the interview, since the intervi	nce
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorization was requested of, and received from, Applicant's counsel for an examiner's amendment that replaces the term "SEQ ID NO:1" in each of claims 54, 65 and 66 with the phrase, "the sequence defined by position 16 to position 686 of SEQ ID NO:2", and replaces the second occurrence of "polypeptide" in these claims with the term "amino acid".